

**Please indicate whether any of the following were impediments or issues in your implementation of a video conferencing system.
(Other responses)**

Additional court resources (e.g. interpreters) and funding were required. The options selected above were applicable to Mental Health video conferencing.

Already available through the AOC. We are in the same building.

Bandwidth

by Statute says we can not use Video Conferencing for Court proceedings

Concern for Due Process; Objections from the sign language community

County IT supporting Court infrastructure

Difficulty in handling the transporation of documents related to each individual proceeding.

Equipment Issues

Everyone needed to be trained, procedures needed to be developed.

identifying the major sponsor and identifying the funding source

lack of buyin from IT Director

Limitation in facilities

limited resources available for video conferencing in our remote location

local funding for ISDN telephone lines

Massachusetts Juvenile Court has never had videoconference capacity. All issues above are being addressed.

No imminent need

No need

no need for a video conf. system

Not all in each location but at least some in each.

not having a dedicated video conferencing network

not needed

Original impediments are unknown although all listed concerns were likely impediments at one time

paperwork

Some of all of these as we implimented in the mid-1980 and have upgraded many times since then. Now we could not function with out it.

sufficient discussion between attorney and client (confidential) as well as parent-child

sufficient technology to meet needs - when program started in 1991

there is not a huge demand

Too long ago to be sure

Video Conferencing for Juvenile is not allowed by Supreme Court in Florida

We could not get IP addresses from JID as there was a hold for a few years..
