



Joint Technology Committee of COSCA and NACM Court Technology Standards

This version of the JTC Court Technology Standards matrix excludes information exchange specifications that were included in previous versions of the matrix. With the dynamic nature of exchange specifications and with many different versions of specifications developed, the JTC has elected instead to continue support of, and participation in, NIEM and Global initiatives for justice information sharing without formal review and approval of each specification. Information Exchange Package Documentation (IEPDs) and Global Service Specification Packages (SSPs) are available through external sources listed below. JTC will still consider adoption of information exchange standards that conform to NIEM and the GRA while specifically addressing a court business function or problem (for example, ECF standards for e-filing).

Information Sharing Initiatives and Standards recognized by the JTC:

- [National Information Exchange Model \(NIEM\)](#)
- [Information Exchange Package Documentation \(IEPD\) Clearinghouse](#)
- [Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative \(Global\)](#)
- [Global Reference Architecture](#)
- [Global Service Specification Packages \(SSPs\)](#)

The table on the following pages includes technical specifications, functional requirements and guidelines developed by the JTC or developed by other organizations and recognized by the JTC for review and formal adoption by COSCA and NACM as standards for the courts community.

Possible values in the “Status” column:

- **Work in Progress** – Idea for standard has been presented to the JTC. The standard is still under development.
- **Proposed** – Work on the standard has been completed and submitted to the JTC as a proposed standard.
- **Hold** – Approval process is on hold for clarification, changes based on feedback, or other reasons as determined by the JTC.
- **Recommended** – Formal reviews have been completed. The JTC has voted to recommend the standard for adoption.
- **Adopted** – COSCA and NACM boards have voted to approve the standard for adoption.
- **Rejected** – The standard was determined inadequate or unnecessary and rejected by the JTC and/or COSCA and NACM boards.
- **Superseded** – Standard has been replaced by a newer version or another standard or determined to be unnecessary.

Possible values in the “Type” column:

- **Functional Requirements**
- **Technical Specification**
- **Reference Document**
- **Guidelines**

Joint Technology Committee of COSCA and NACM Court Technology Standards

Standard	Type	Status	Proposed to JTC	Referred to CITOC	Approved by CITOC	Approved by JTC	Adopted by COSCA	Adopted by NACM	Notes
Civil Functional Requirements	Functional Requirements	Adopted	Yes	n/a	n/a	Yes	Feb 2001	Feb 2001	Developed by the National Consortium of State Court Automation Standards and the Civil JSD Team
Domestic Relations Functional Requirements v1.0	Functional Requirements	Adopted	Yes	n/a	n/a	Jul 2002	Mar 2003	Mar 2003	Developed by the National Consortium of State Court Automation Standards and the Domestic JSD Team
Criminal Functional Requirements	Functional Requirements	Adopted	Jul 2002	n/a	n/a	Dec 2002	Mar 2003	Mar 2003	Developed by the National Consortium of State Court Automation Standards and the Criminal JSD Team
Juvenile Functional Requirements	Functional Requirements	Adopted	Jul 2002	n/a	n/a	Dec 2003	Jul 2004	Jul 2004	Developed by OJJDP and the Juvenile JSD Team
Traffic Functional Requirements	Functional Requirements	Adopted	Jul 2004	n/a	n/a	Dec 2004	Mar 2005	Mar 2005	Developed by NHTSA and the Traffic JSD Team
Consolidated Functional Requirements v0.10	Functional Requirements	Superseded	Dec 2005	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Consolidated Functional Requirements v0.20	Functional Requirements	Hold	Jun 2006	Nov 2007					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Concerns with gaps and language from original separate CMS requirements that were not carried over to consolidated version. * Next steps will likely be impacted by, or consolidated with, appellate functional requirements effort. * JTC decided not to proceed with formal review and adoption of consolidated requirements until decisions are made regarding to refresh of all case management requirements.
Appellate Functional Requirements	Functional Requirements	Hold							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Work was started by an Appellate Standards JSD Team. * NCACC expressed interest in furthering this work, but action and ongoing interest has been limited. * All agree, if this work continues, it should be combined with the consolidated requirements effort with eventual goal of a RFP Toolkit that will allow extracting requirements applicable to specific courts and/or case types.
E-Filing Processes: Technical and Business Approaches	Guidelines	Adopted	Jul 2002	n/a	n/a	Dec 2002	Mar 2003	Mar 2003	

**Joint Technology Committee of COSCA and NACM
Court Technology Standards**

Standard	Type	Status	Proposed to JTC	Referred to CITOC	Approved by CITOC	Approved by JTC	Adopted by COSCA	Adopted by NACM	Notes
Public Access to Court Records: Implementing the CCJ/COSCA Guidelines	Guidelines	Adopted	Dec 2005	n/a	n/a	2006	2006	2006	* This report is a continuation of work on a 2002 report titled "Developing CCJ/COSCA Guidelines for Public Access to Court Records" (http://www.jmijustice.org/resources/other_pubs/ccj-cosca-guidelines-for-public-access-to-court-records/view).
LegalXML Electronic Court Filing 1.0	Technical Specification	Superseded	Yes	n/a	n/a	Mar 2000	n/a	n/a	Developed by Legal
LegalXML Electronic Court Filing 1.1	Technical Specification	Superseded	Jul 2002	n/a	n/a	Dec 2002	n/a	n/a	
LegalXML Electronic Court Filing 3.0	Technical Specification	Superseded	Jul 2005	Dec 2005	Mar 2007	n/a	n/a	n/a	Developed by the OASIS LegalXML ECF Technical Committee * GJXDM version
LegalXML Electronic Court Filing 3.1	Technical Specification	Adopted	Nov 2007	Nov 2007	Yes	Aug 2009	Aug 2009	Aug 2009	Developed by the OASIS LegalXML ECF Technical Committee * GJXDM version
LegalXML Electronic Court Filing 4.0	Technical Specification	Adopted	Dec 2008	Dec 2008	May 2009	Aug 2009	Aug 2009	Aug 2009	Developed by the OASIS LegalXML ECF Technical Committee * NIEM version * Version 4.01 was adopted as an OASIS Standard in 2013.
Jury Management System Requirements	Functional Requirements	Work in Progress							* JTC created Jury Standards Working Group in October 2013. * Leveraging work completed as part of Minnesota Jury Requirements Project funded by SJI. * Incorporating "next-gen" concepts being considered for future work on court technology standards. * Draft deliverables from the Jury Standards Working Group are planned for completion in Spring 2014.