

Can you give percentage or dollar estimates of the savings your court or state has realized through video conferencing, or discuss other benefits and costs realized?

23%

5 percent

At this time, the costs of the equipment is very minimal because we only have the desktop/laptop version and there's no actual costs or monitoring as to time and money saved as far as using the technology.

Cost/Benefits analysis suggest cost avoidance of approximately \$300 per hour.

Difficult to determine since each local court uses it differently. Part of the success has been the ability of juvenile courts to meet time standards for holding required hearings.

Dollar amounts are not available at this time.

Easier to get quorum on judicial committee meetings.

Enhanced communication;

Rapid dissemination of information;

Reduced travel time

Estimated at 30% of all travel expenses. State Corrections estimates 80-90% of their prisoner transport costs.

In 2009 we supported 1,299 video conferences in Pasco and Pinellas County. The Pasco County Sheriff did not have to transport 11,414 prisoners and Pinellas did not have to transport 36,362.

In a recent survey of trial and magisterial district courts, a savings of \$31,825,768 has been achieved by several judicial districts since institution of this technology.

na

No (4)

No, however the countless transports are eliminated between the jail and courthouse.

Roundtrip 14 miles.

no, the largest savings is in the county sheriff's budget and the prisoner custody and control budget which is managed by an Executive Branch agency

No. Cost savings not documented by Sheriff.

Not at this time

Primary savings are reduced personnel and transportation costs for the Regional Jails and corrections. Savings have been estimated to be in the tens of millions of dollars.

Reduced travel costs, improved accessibility

Savings of close to 600K.

Can you give percentage or dollar estimates of the savings your court or state has realized through video conferencing, or discuss other benefits and costs realized?

Since 1996 we have conducted over 18,000 court hearings, non-bond call. The savings in transportation paid for the initial installation and two later upgrades more than three times over

Started June 2009, about \$5,000 for 2009 saved, and probably \$7500 saved in 2010 to date.

The Sherriff Dept. is believed to save at least \$50,000 per year in transportation and deputy costs for juveniles.

There are savings from the corrections side but I do not have monetary figures from them.

Too new to have a full grasp on this question

too soon to tell

unknown

Unknown to me

we are currently compiling that information

We use the video to conduct post sentence hearings with defendants in state correctional institutions. We estimate a savings of at least \$500 per hearing.

We use video for courts and magistrate hearings in the state of Virginia. Magistrate video has allowed us to cover more counties 24/7 with less magistrates. Court video is utilized every day also and provides many benefits to the State.

Yes -- a cost/benefit analysis performed here suggest that the video unit on average needs to be used for two hours a week.
