

JOINT TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE – FACT Technology Showcase

July 10, 2005
San Francisco, CA

Draft Minutes

Attendees

Terrie Bousquin
Michael Bridenback
Dave Byers
Sheila Calabro
Dr. Hugh Collins
Chris Crawford
Curtis DeClue
D. J. Hanson
Dennis Finch
Vince Harris
Steven Hollon
David Loshin
Janet McLane
J. Dennis Moran

Debra Nesbit
John Olivier
Bob Roper
Moir Rowley
Helen Smith
Richelle Uecker
Ron Warfield
Bob Wessels
Paul Wieser
Yolande E. Williams

NCSC Staff

Tom Clarke
Dale Kasperek
Mary McQueen

Welcome and Introductions:

Dr. Hugh Collins called the meeting to order. Dr. Collins reminded attendees that JTC and FACT members had agreed previously that meeting jointly to share informational reports would make meetings more efficient. The purpose of the morning session was an informational update. The Joint Technology Committee would hold a business meeting in the afternoon.

FACT Report:

Mr. Chris Crawford summarized the activity of the FACT steering committee meeting from earlier in the morning and provided a written report of FACT activities dated July 6, 2005. (see enclosure).



Federal Office of Child Support Enforcement

Ms. Helen Smith, Assistant Associate Commissioner, OCSE, presented a report to JTC highlighting services of OCSE. Following the report discussed state workloads. Mr. Vince Harris made a presentation on the OCSE XML project in Georgia that is GJXDM compliant. Mr. David Loshin of the Federal OCSE participated in the discussion that followed. At the close of the discussion, Ms. Smith asked the Joint Technology Committee to prioritize child support in the GIEP development. Action on the request was postponed until the afternoon meeting of the JTC.

National Center for State Courts

CTC9

Mr. Tom Clarke updated JTC and FACT members on the Court Technology Conference scheduled for September 2005. He reported that a separate track will be dedicated to GJXDM. Mr. Clarke reported that the Special Interest Group sessions will center on technical discussions and will include a session on the Wayfarer GJXDM tool developed by NCSC. Mr. Clarke also said that a two day session is scheduled after CTC for programmers who need to know more about the GJXDM.

GLOBAL

Mr. Clarke said GLOBAL announced that DOJ and Homeland Security formed a partnership to broaden the scope of the GJXDM. NIEM (National Information Exchange Model) will put a more rigorous governing structure in place to manage processes including version control. He reported that a number of JTC and NCSC members are represented on the GJXDM and NIEM governance bodies. Mr. Clarke told FACT and JTC members that it is important that courts actively participate and take advantage of their governance positions in order to produce results and attempt to get funding for court technology, including the development of messaging standards. Mr. Clarke informed attendees that NCSC will be participating in a national GJXDM help desk. In addition, NCSC is working on breaking down processes into components to develop solutions for GJXDM.

JOINT TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

JTC Standards Work Group

Mr. Hollon informed attendees that the traffic standards had been adopted by both NACM and COSCA. He reported that progress on the appellate standards has been slow. Work of the JSD Teams has been through the Internet due to insufficient funds to finance meetings of the Team. Mr. Hollon said that NCSC staff were trying to find sufficient funds to bring the Appellate JSD Team together as has been done in all previous standards development efforts. Mr. Hollon also informed attendees that the Work Group would discuss the need to modify the standards adoption process in the afternoon meeting.

JTC Liaisons

Representing CCJ and COSCA on the FBI audit committee, Dr. Collins said the FBI asked why courts are not filling out Brady requirements on protection orders when the federal government has provided funds to courts to provide the information. Dr. Collins said he told the FBI that he



was not aware of funds to assist courts with this requirement. Dr. Collins said that Mr. Clarke will visit the FBI to seek details about the issue. Mr. Roper and Ms. Calabro volunteered to assist in exploring the issue.

CITOC (Court Information Technology Officers Consortium)

Mr. Roper informed attendees that with the support of the Joint Technology Committee, an organizational meeting was held in Washington, D.C. this past April to form a temporary executive committee, plan organizational governance, propose dues, develop membership requirements, and discuss the functions of CITOC. The organizational committee developed a prioritization for CITOC work that included the following: disaster recovery (COOP), managing public access and privacy, providing accountability through metrics, sharing of information, and related technology responsibilities. Mr. Roper reported that membership in CITOC will be organizational membership rather than individual membership. Mr. Wessels reported that NACM approved the CITOC dues proposal at its Board meeting on July 9th. Mr. Hollon reported that COSCA will consider the dues proposal at its meeting later this month (July). Dr. Collins noted that CITOC will serve in an advisory capacity for the Joint Technology Committee.

Following this report, the joint meeting of the Joint Technology Committee and FACT was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted:

Dale Kasparek, NCSC

