A BENCH CARD FOR JUDGES



KEY QUESTIONS

at Appearances for Individuals with Serious Mental Illness

When Mental Illness Seems To Be a Factor, Consider:

PREVALENCE

- Serious Mental Illness: 17% of adults booked into jails (31% of women; 15% of men)
- Substance Use Disorder: 65% of adults in U.S. corrections systems
- **Co-Occurring Mental Illness/Substance Use Disorder:** 72% of adults with serious mental illnesses in jail also had co-occurring substance use disorders

CONTEXTUALIZING OBSERVATIONS

While certain categories of observation (see <u>Judges' Guide to Mental Illnesses in the Courtroom</u>, csgjusticecenter.org) may alert judges that an individual may have a mental illness that requires different judicial action and/or attention by a mental health professional, there are not definitive signs of mental illness. Certain contextual elements are important to consider:

- Appearing in court is an anxiety-provoking experience for most people, and people with mental illness in courts also typically have traumatic experiences in their backgrounds.
- Individuals may not be prepared to navigate a system as complex and demanding as the criminal justice system.
- Some individuals may have language barriers, hearing difficulties, or brain processing challenges.
- Individuals may bring to court skills that have allowed them to survive in their communities but are
 a poor fit for interacting with the courts (e.g., toughness, argumentativeness, silence).
- The presentation of symptoms of someone with serious mental illness can fluctuate.

EVIDENCED-BASED PRACTICES SHOULD BE FOLLOWED WHICH INCLUDE:

- Every case receives individual attention.
- Individual attention is proportional to need and seriousness of the issue before the court.
- Decisions demonstrate procedural justice (clear processes, fairness, information, respect, etc.).
- Judges control the legal process.

NATIONAL JUDICIAL TASK FORCE TO EXAMINE STATE COURTS' RESPONSE TO MENTAL ILLNESS

KEY QUESTIONS

1. Screening

- Does this person present as an individual who has behavioral health issues?
 - If yes, what screening tools are available to me, if any?
- Are any of the screening tools appropriate for this individual?
- Is this screening tool a validated instrument?
- Who will conduct this screening and when?
- Are criminogenic risks and needs considered?

2. Court Information

- What is the nature of the current charge?
- Does this individual have other pending matters?
- What does the criminal record of this individual show, if anything?
- Are there patterns of charges or types of charges to help get a picture of the individual's behavior in the community?
- Are there failures to appear that could have been impacted by this person's mental illness or social circumstances?

3. If the individual is screened and an assessment is appropriate

- What assessment tools are available to me?
- Is this assessment tool designed to work with the screening tool?
- Is this assessment tool appropriate for this individual?
- When can the assessment be completed and by whom?

4. Behavioral Health Triage, Early Intervention and Alternate Pathways

- Have I considered diversion or alternative pathways away from criminal settings and into treatment, pretrial, civil, or treatment court programs?
- Which connections to community-based treatment, recovery support, and care coordination are in alignment with the needs of the individual?
- Is there an accessible <u>Certified Community</u>
 <u>Behavioral Health Clinic</u> (CCBHC) or clinical service that can support an individual with criminal justice and mental health issues?
- What services are available that will meet the needs of the individual?
- Are there any impediments (e.g., insurance, transportation, housing)?

5. Best Practices

- Slow down the proceeding if you notice that an individual before you has behavioral health issues
- Be respectful
- Avoid embarrassing the defendant
- Ask for a side bar conference with the attorneys
- Avoid stigmatizing the defendant
- Don't get embroiled in the challenges of the defendant
- Subjective determinations of an individual need should be avoided
- Overrides of valid assessment results should be rare

For additional information, see Exploring Person-Centered Justice for Individuals with Behavioral Health Needs: A New Model for Collaborative Court and Community Caseflow Management <u>reports</u> and <u>brief</u>.

This document was developed under the Mental Health Initiative: Phase II Grant #SJI-20-P-054 from the State Justice Institute and approved by the Task Force Executive Committee. The points of view expressed do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the State Justice Institute.







