Dr. Hugh Collins called the meeting to order. Dr. Collins asked for a motion on the minutes. Mr. Howard Schwartz moved that the minutes be approved. The motion was seconded by Mr. Robert Roper and unanimously approved.

Standards Workgroup:
Mr. Steven Hollon reported that Technology Services has been working to revise the standards adoption process in order to be more consistent with industry standards development processes. The revised process envisions and embraces the development of technical standards such as the Information Exchange Packages (IEP’s). Mr. Hollon said the thought is to include CITOC in the development of the technical standards. He also said the revised standards adoption process would be presented for consideration at a future JTC meeting. Mr. Hollon reported that the Traffic Information Exchange Package would be used to test the process. He stated that the E-Filing 3.0 Proposal would also be subjected to the new process if approved by the JTC.

Mr. Hollon introduced Mr. John Greacen representing OASIS Legal XML Electronic Court Filing Technical Committee to present the E-Filing 3.0 Proposal. Mr. Greacen reviewed the history of e-filing standards development. He informed the committee that the E-Filing 3.0 Proposal is “just about ready” for submission to the standards adoption process. He also said that among the improvements are standards based messaging and support for GJXDM.
Mr. Greacen informed committee members that if they wanted to comment on the draft proposal, those comments were needed by the end of July. He told the committee that the OASIS Legal XML Electronic Court Filing Technical Committee wanted to complete the draft so that it could submit the proposal to the Joint Technology Committee in September. He requested that the JTC vet the current document and provide feedback to OASIS by the end of July. Mr. Greacen said that the timeline is short since it is his intention to deliver the 3.0 product to the Standards Working Group at the end of September. He also asked the Joint Technology Committee to assume responsibility for pilot and testing.

Ms. Calabro moved that the JTC “vet” the 3.0 proposal in accordance with the Standards Working Group process and that the JTC assume responsibility for piloting and testing. Mr. Roper offered a second to the motion and committee unanimously approved the action. A question on the motion clarified that if JTC members wanted to comment on the draft, they needed to do so by the end of July. Ms. Calabro asked that staff look at the 3.0 proposal and compare it to the California project for the purpose of providing a report to the JTC on how the processes aligned with each other.

**Prioritization of Information Exchange Package Development**

Mr. Kasparek summarized the returns concerning the “homework” assignment discussed in the December JTC meeting. Mr. Kasparek informed the committee that the responses were few in number and based upon the response, no consensus could be established for the prioritization of the exchange documents in the JIEM Model. He informed the committee that some respondents raised questions about whether the JTC envisioned working in case types other than criminal, i.e., child support, other exchanges related to protection orders, and dependent, neglect, and abused children. Mr. Kasparek asked that JTC members share their comments with the committee in an attempt to reach some consensus on prioritization of future IEPD development. The Committee agreed that the JIEM model was incomplete from a court perspective and that staff should develop strategies to extend the model or in some other way define the full range of court exchanges as part of a court information model.

Ms. Smith, at the invitation of Dr. Collins, asked the JTC to make child support a priority commenting that child support cases are a significant part of court business. Mr. Roper suggested that staff prepare a list of IEPD’s that are being developed, the status of the work, and the organization responsible. Mr. Tom Clarke agreed to prepare the list and make recommendations for further development within resource constraints. Mr. Roper moved to make child support and all related child support exchanges a priority. Ms. Calabro offered a second to the motion and it passed unanimously.

Mr. Dave Byers raised the issue of problem solving courts. Ms. Smith informed the JTC of a national committee working on these issues. She offered to provide the list of members and information on project goals to the JTC. Mr. Roper suggested that the Exchange Model needed to be expanded to consider the larger business of courts including mental health. Mr. Roper moved to make dependency, neglect, and abuse as the second priority noting again that he meant the identifying all the exchanges in the business process and prioritizing them for work. Mr. Michael Bridenback, among others, offered a second to the motion. The motion to make dependency, neglect, and abuse a priority for the development of IEPD’s passed unanimously.

**Strategic Planning Session**

Mr. Tom Clarke discussed division of labor between JTC and CITOC stating that CITOC provides an opportunity for the Joint Technology Committee to concentrate its efforts in the policy area. Mr. Clarke noted that it has been a couple of years since JTC has formally revised
its strategic plan. The Co-Chairs, Mr. Clarke and Mr. Fairholm will meet prior to the next JTC meeting to put together some discussion points and recommendations for consideration at the next meeting.

There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted:
Dale Kasparek, NCSC