

Up-dated October 2018



**NCSC**  
National Center for State Courts

*Center for Judicial Ethics*

## Social Media and Judicial Ethics

Compiled by Cynthia Gray, Director

NCSC Center for Judicial Ethics

[www.ncsc.org/cje](http://www.ncsc.org/cje)

### **Social media and judicial ethics: Part 1**

[\*Judicial Conduct Reporter \(spring 2017\)\*](#)

### **Social media and judicial ethics: Part 2**

[\*Judicial Conduct Reporter \(summer 2017\)\*](#)

A 2-part article analyzing the advisory opinions and discipline decisions on social media and judicial ethics was published in the spring and summer 2017 issues of the *Judicial Conduct Reporter*. Part 1 was a general introduction to the topic and a discussion of issues related to judicial duties: “friending” attorneys, disqualification and disclosure, ex parte communications and independent investigations, and comments on pending cases. Part 2 covered off-bench conduct: conduct that undermines public confidence in the judiciary, commenting on issues, abusing the prestige of office, providing legal advice, disclosing non-public information, charitable activities, political activities, and campaign conduct.

Below are summaries of code changes, opinions, and cases since publication of the 2-part article.

### **Code changes**

In October 2018, the California Supreme Court added commentary to Canon 2A of the state’s code of judicial ethics that states (<https://tinyurl.com/c3lrplt>).

A judge must exercise caution when engaging in any type of electronic communication, including communication by text or email, or when participating in online social networking sites or otherwise posting material on the Internet, given the accessibility, widespread transmission, and permanence of electronic communications and material posted on the Internet. The same canons that govern a judge’s ability to socialize in

person, on paper, or over the telephone apply to electronic communications, including use of the Internet and social networking sites. Those canons include, but are not limited to Canon 2B(2) (lending the prestige of judicial office), 3B(7) (ex parte communications), 3B(9) (public comment on pending or impending proceedings), 3E(2) (disclosure of information relevant to disqualification), and 4A (conducting extrajudicial activities to avoid casting doubt on the judge's capacity to act impartially, demeaning the judicial office, or frequent disqualification).

## **Advisory opinions**

A judicial candidate may not establish a Facebook page that would request individuals to sign petitions to permit the candidate to qualify without paying the fee otherwise required by law, but a committee of responsible persons may do so as long it is clear that the Facebook page is not maintained by the candidate personally. [Florida Advisory Opinion 2017-24](#).

When a judge knows that a lawyer appearing before the judge is a former Facebook friend, disclosure is not presumptively required, but the judge should consider the nature of the particular relationship to determine whether disclosure is warranted based on the nature of the former on-line friendship, the extent of any other relationship between the judge and the lawyer, and the personal information the judge posted that the lawyer might use to convey the impression of special access to the judge. [Massachusetts Letter Opinion 2018-3](#).

A judge who receives a social media message from the victim's first-degree relative that contains substantive discussion of the case must disclose the ex parte communication to all parties, but recusal is not required and is within the judge's discretion if a request is made. [New York Advisory Opinion 2017-53](#).

## **Judicial discipline decisions**

The Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct publicly reprimanded a judge for mocking a litigant in posts on his Facebook page; the Commission also ordered the judge to delete the post and to review an advisory opinion. [Urie, Order](#) (Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct June 12, 2018).

Based on a stipulation, the California Commission on Judicial Performance publicly censured a former commissioner and barred him from receiving an assignment, appointment, or reference of work from any California state court for (1) posts and re-posts on his public Facebook page that reflected, among other things, anti-Muslim sentiment, anti-immigration sentiment, anti-Native American sentiment, anti-gay marriage and transgender sentiment, anti-liberal and anti-Democrat sentiment, anti-black sentiment, opposition to then-presidential candidate Hillary Clinton, accusations against President Barack Obama, a lack of respect for the federal justice

system, and contempt for the poor and (2) representing to his presiding judge and the Commission that he had taken the posts down when that was not true, although he believed the posts were no longer publicly viewable. [In the Matter Concerning Gianquinto, Decision and order](#) (California Commission on Judicial Performance August 22, 2018).

Based on an agreement, the Kentucky Judicial Conduct Commission publicly reprimanded a judge for sharing a news story on her Facebook account with the comment, "This murder suspect was RELEASED FROM JAIL just hours after killing a man and confessing to police." [In re the Matter of McLaughlin, Agreed order public reprimand](#) (Kentucky Judicial Conduct Commission June 12, 2018).

Accepting an agreed statement of facts and recommendation, the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct publicly admonished a non-lawyer judge for entering a property without the owner's permission, taking photographs of the property, posting the photos on Facebook with disparaging comments about the owner, and failing to promptly remove the post despite assuring the Commission that he would do so. [In the Matter of Fisher, Determination](#) (New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct June 26, 2018).

The Texas State Commission on Judicial Conduct publicly reprimanded a judge for posting campaign advertisements for other candidates on his Facebook page and sitting in the campaign tent of 3 candidates during the election. [Public Reprimand of Lopez](#) (Texas State Commission on Judicial Conduct June 6, 2018).

The Texas State Commission on Judicial Conduct publicly reprimanded a judge for posting on his Facebook page a meme endorsing the extermination of Muslims and statements "railing" against liberals. [Public Reprimand of Burkeen](#) (Texas State Commission on Judicial Conduct February 21, 2018).

The Texas State Commission on Judicial Conduct publicly admonished a judge for organizing a school supply drive using court staff and advertising it in Facebook posts, soliciting donations to an individual in a Facebook post, and advertising his donation of a rifle to a charitable organization's raffle in a Facebook post. [Public Admonition of Metts](#) (Texas State Commission on Judicial Conduct October 3, 2018).

The West Virginia Judicial Investigation Commission publicly admonished a judge for posting on his Facebook page a photo showing him conducting an initial appearance. [Public Admonishment of Hall](#) (West Virginia Judicial Investigation Commission October 31, 2017).